

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/05/2003	Jeffrey Stull	DSC-03009	1323
590 09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
PROTON ENERGY SYSTEM 10 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE WALLINGFORD, CT 06492		JACKSON, ANDRE K	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2856	
	12/05/2003 590 09/21/2005 ERGY SYSTEM OGY DRIVE	12/05/2003 Jeffrey Stull 590 09/21/2005 ERGY SYSTEM OGY DRIVE	12/05/2003 Jeffrey Stull DSC-03009 590 09/21/2005 EXAM ERGY SYSTEM OGY DRIVE RD, CT 06492 ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/707,324	STULL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	André K. Jackson	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	August 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	,—				
• • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 is/are withdrates 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the properties of the proper	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-052) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,324

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election with traverse of Group III claims 15-19 in the reply filed on 08/26/05 is acknowledged.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The prior art shown in Figure 1A in view of Bryan et al.

Regarding claim 15, The prior art shown in figure 1A an electrochemical cell stack (18); a phase separator fluidly coupled to the electro-chemical stack for receiving a water gas mixture (24). The prior art disclosed in figure 1A does not disclose a vent conduit fluidly connected and extending vertically from the top of said phase separator and a combustible gas sensor coupled to the vent conduit. However, Bryan et

Application/Control Number: 10/707,324

Art Unit: 2856

al. disclose "Apparatus and method for venting hydrogen from an electrolytic cell" that it is known to use a vent (21) conduit fluidly connected and extending vertically from the top of said phase separator and a combustible gas sensor coupled to the vent conduit (Column 6, lines 10-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art shown in figure 1A to include a vent conduit fluidly connected and extending vertically from the top of the phase separator and a combustible gas sensor coupled to the vent conduit. By adding this feature the apparatus would be able to determine whether the concentration of a gas did not exceed a particular value.

Page 3

Regarding claim 16, neither The prior art shown in figure 1A nor Bryan et al. disclose where the vent conduit is metallic. However, it would be certainly within the purview of the skilled artisan to produce the vent with any type of material according to the specifications of the invention.

Regarding claim 17, neither The prior art shown in figure 1A nor Bryan et al. disclose where the combustible gas sensor is electrically grounded to the vent conduit. However, depending on the type of sensor used it would have to be grounded to ensure the best possible signal.

4. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Art Unit: 2856

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to André K. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2196. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7AM-4PM.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 16, 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800